arXiv:1404.0287v1 [hep-ex] 1 Apr 2014

EUROPEAN ORGANIZATION FOR NUCLEAR RESEARCH (CERN)

CERN-PH-EP-2014-054
LHCb-PAPER-2014-009
April 1, 2014

Evidence for the decay $B_c^+ \to J/\psi\, 3\pi^+ 2\pi^-$

The LHCb collaboration[†]

Abstract

Evidence is presented for the decay $B_c^+ \to J/\psi\, 3\pi^+ 2\pi^-$ using proton-proton collision data, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of $3\,\text{fb}^{-1}$, collected with the LHCb detector. A signal yield of 32 ± 8 decays is found with a significance of 4.5 standard deviations. The ratio of the branching fraction of the $B_c^+ \to J/\psi\, 3\pi^+ 2\pi^-$ decay to that of the $B_c^+ \to J/\psi\, \pi^+$ decay is measured to be

$$\frac{\mathcal{B}\left(B_c^+ \to J/\psi\, 3\pi^+ 2\pi^-\right)}{\mathcal{B}\left(B_c^+ \to J/\psi\, \pi^+\right)} = 1.74 \pm 0.44 \pm 0.24,$$

where the first uncertainty is statistical and the second is systematic.

Submitted to JHEP

© CERN on behalf of the LHCb collaboration, license CC-BY-3.0.

[†]Authors are listed on the following pages.

LHCb collaboration

R. Aaij[41], B. Adeva[37], M. Adinolfi[46], A. Affolder[52], Z. Ajaltouni[5], J. Albrecht[9], F. Alessio[38], M. Alexander[51], S. Ali[41], G. Alkhazov[30], P. Alvarez Cartelle[37], A.A. Alves Jr[25,38], S. Amato[2], S. Amerio[22], Y. Amhis[7], L. An[3], L. Anderlini[17,g], J. Anderson[40], R. Andreassen[57], M. Andreotti[16,f], J.E. Andrews[58], R.B. Appleby[54], O. Aquines Gutierrez[10], F. Archilli[38], A. Artamonov[35], M. Artuso[59], E. Aslanides[6], G. Auriemma[25,m], M. Baalouch[5], S. Bachmann[11], J.J. Back[48], A. Badalov[36], V. Balagura[31], W. Baldini[16], R.J. Barlow[54], C. Barschel[38], S. Barsuk[7], W. Barter[47], V. Batozskaya[28], Th. Bauer[41], A. Bay[39], J. Beddow[51], F. Bedeschi[23], I. Bediaga[1], S. Belogurov[31], K. Belous[35], I. Belyaev[31], E. Ben-Haim[8], G. Bencivenni[18], S. Benson[50], J. Benton[46], A. Berezhnoy[32], R. Bernet[40], M.-O. Bettler[47], M. van Beuzekom[41], A. Bien[11], S. Bifani[45], T. Bird[54], A. Bizzeti[17,i], P.M. Bjørnstad[54], T. Blake[48], F. Blanc[39], J. Blouw[10], S. Blusk[59], V. Bocci[25], A. Bondar[34], N. Bondar[30,38], W. Bonivento[15,38], S. Borghi[54], A. Borgia[59], M. Borsato[7], T.J.V. Bowcock[52], E. Bowen[40], C. Bozzi[16], T. Brambach[9], J. van den Brand[42], J. Bressieux[39], D. Brett[54], M. Britsch[10], T. Britton[59], N.H. Brook[46], H. Brown[52], A. Bursche[40], G. Busetto[22,p], J. Buytaert[38], S. Cadeddu[15], R. Calabrese[16,f], O. Callot[7], M. Calvi[20,k], M. Calvo Gomez[36,n], A. Camboni[36], P. Campana[18,38], D. Campora Perez[38], F. Caponio[21,t], A. Carbone[14,d], G. Carboni[24,l], R. Cardinale[19,38,j], A. Cardini[15], H. Carranza-Mejia[50], L. Carson[50], K. Carvalho Akiba[2], G. Casse[52], L. Cassina[20], L. Castillo Garcia[38], M. Cattaneo[38], Ch. Cauet[9], R. Cenci[58], M. Charles[8], Ph. Charpentier[38], S.-F. Cheung[55], N. Chiapolini[40], M. Chrzaszcz[40,26], K. Ciba[38], X. Cid Vidal[38], G. Ciezarek[53], P.E.L. Clarke[50], M. Clemencic[38], H.V. Cliff[47], J. Closier[38], C. Coca[29], V. Coco[38], J. Cogan[6], E. Cogneras[5], P. Collins[38], A. Comerma-Montells[11], A. Contu[15,38], A. Cook[46], M. Coombes[46], S. Coquereau[8], G. Corti[38], I. Counts[56], B. Couturier[38], G.A. Cowan[50], D.C. Craik[48], M. Cruz Torres[60], S. Cunliffe[53], R. Currie[50], C. D'Ambrosio[38], J. Dalseno[46], P. David[8], P.N.Y. David[41], A. Davis[57], K. De Bruyn[41], S. De Capua[54], M. De Cian[11], J.M. De Miranda[1], L. De Paula[2], W. De Silva[57], P. De Simone[18], D. Decamp[4], M. Deckenhoff[9], L. Del Buono[8], N. Déléage[4], D. Derkach[55], O. Deschamps[5], F. Dettori[42], A. Di Canto[38], H. Dijkstra[38], S. Donleavy[52], F. Dordei[11], M. Dorigo[39], A. Dosil Suárez[37], D. Dossett[48], A. Dovbnya[43], F. Dupertuis[39], P. Durante[38], R. Dzhelyadin[35], A. Dziurda[26], A. Dzyuba[30], S. Easo[49], U. Egede[53], V. Egorychev[31], S. Eidelman[34], S. Eisenhardt[50], U. Eitschberger[9], R. Ekelhof[9], L. Eklund[51,38], I. El Rifai[5], Ch. Elsasser[40], S. Esen[11], A. Falabella[16,f], C. Färber[11], C. Farinelli[41], N. Farley[45], S. Farry[52], D. Ferguson[50], V. Fernandez Albor[37], F. Ferreira Rodrigues[1], M. Ferro-Luzzi[38], S. Filippov[33], M. Fiore[16,f], M. Fiorini[16,f], M. Firlej[27], C. Fitzpatrick[38], T. Fiutowski[27], M. Fontana[10], F. Fontanelli[19,j], R. Forty[38], O. Francisco[2], M. Frank[38], C. Frei[38], M. Frosini[17,38,g], J. Fu[21], E. Furfaro[24,l], A. Gallas Torreira[37], D. Galli[14,d], S. Gallorini[22], S. Gambetta[19,j], M. Gandelman[2], P. Gandini[59], Y. Gao[3], J. Garofoli[59], J. Garra Tico[47], L. Garrido[36], C. Gaspar[38], R. Gauld[55], L. Gavardi[9], E. Gersabeck[11], M. Gersabeck[54], T. Gershon[48], Ph. Ghez[4], A. Gianelle[22], S. Giani'[39], V. Gibson[47], L. Giubega[29], V.V. Gligorov[38], C. Göbel[60], D. Golubkov[31], A. Golutvin[53,31,38], A. Gomes[1,a], H. Gordon[38], C. Gotti[20], M. Grabalosa Gándara[5], R. Graciani Diaz[36], L.A. Granado Cardoso[38], E. Graugés[36], G. Graziani[17], A. Grecu[29], E. Greening[55], S. Gregson[47], P. Griffith[45], L. Grillo[11], O. Grünberg[62], B. Gui[59], E. Gushchin[33], Yu. Guz[35,38], T. Gys[38], C. Hadjivasiliou[59], G. Haefeli[39], C. Haen[38], T.W. Hafkenscheid[65], S.C. Haines[47], S. Hall[53], B. Hamilton[58], T. Hampson[46], X. Han[11], S. Hansmann-Menzemer[11], N. Harnew[55], S.T. Harnew[46], J. Harrison[54], T. Hartmann[62], J. He[38], T. Head[38], V. Heijne[41], K. Hennessy[52], P. Henrard[5], L. Henry[8], J.A. Hernando Morata[37],

E. van Herwijnen[38], M. Heß[62], A. Hicheur[1], D. Hill[55], M. Hoballah[5], C. Hombach[54], W. Hulsbergen[41], P. Hunt[55], N. Hussain[55], D. Hutchcroft[52], D. Hynds[51], M. Idzik[27], P. Ilten[56], R. Jacobsson[38], A. Jaeger[11], E. Jans[41], P. Jaton[39], A. Jawahery[58], M. Jezabek[26], F. Jing[3], M. John[55], D. Johnson[55], C.R. Jones[47], C. Joram[38], B. Jost[38], N. Jurik[59], M. Kaballo[9], S. Kandybei[43], W. Kanso[6], M. Karacson[38], T.M. Karbach[38], M. Kelsey[59], I.R. Kenyon[45], T. Ketel[42], B. Khanji[20], C. Khurewathanakul[39], S. Klaver[54], O. Kochebina[7], M. Kolpin[11], I. Komarov[39], R.F. Koopman[42], P. Koppenburg[41,38], M. Korolev[32], A. Kozlinskiy[41], L. Kravchuk[33], K. Kreplin[11], M. Kreps[48], G. Krocker[11], P. Krokovny[34], F. Kruse[9], M. Kucharczyk[20,26,38,k], V. Kudryavtsev[34], K. Kurek[28], T. Kvaratskheliya[31], V.N. La Thi[39], D. Lacarrere[38], G. Lafferty[54], A. Lai[15], D. Lambert[50], R.W. Lambert[42], E. Lanciotti[38], G. Lanfranchi[18], C. Langenbruch[38], B. Langhans[38], T. Latham[48], C. Lazzeroni[45], R. Le Gac[6], J. van Leerdam[41], J.-P. Lees[4], R. Lefèvre[5], A. Leflat[32], J. Lefrançois[7], S. Leo[23], O. Leroy[6], T. Lesiak[26], B. Leverington[11], Y. Li[3], M. Liles[52], R. Lindner[38], C. Linn[38], F. Lionetto[40], B. Liu[15], G. Liu[38], S. Lohn[38], I. Longstaff[51], J.H. Lopes[2], N. Lopez-March[39], P. Lowdon[40], H. Lu[3], D. Lucchesi[22,p], H. Luo[50], E. Luppi[16,f], O. Lupton[55], F. Machefert[7], I.V. Machikhiliyan[31], F. Maciuc[29], O. Maev[30], S. Malde[55], G. Manca[15,e], G. Mancinelli[6], M. Manzali[16,f], J. Maratas[5], J.F. Marchand[4], U. Marconi[14], C. Marin Benito[36], P. Marino[23,r], R. Märki[39], J. Marks[11], G. Martellotti[25], A. Martens[8], A. Martín Sánchez[7], M. Martinelli[41], D. Martinez Santos[42], F. Martinez Vidal[64], D. Martins Tostes[2], A. Massafferri[1], R. Matev[38], Z. Mathe[38], C. Matteuzzi[20], A. Mazurov[16,f], M. McCann[53], J. McCarthy[45], A. McNab[54], R. McNulty[12], B. McSkelly[52], B. Meadows[57,55], F. Meier[9], M. Meissner[11], M. Merk[41], D.A. Milanes[8], M.-N. Minard[4], J. Molina Rodriguez[60], S. Monteil[5], D. Moran[54], M. Morandin[22], P. Morawski[26], A. Mordà[6], M.J. Morello[23,r], J. Moron[27], R. Mountain[59], F. Muheim[50], K. Müller[40], R. Muresan[29], B. Muster[39], P. Naik[46], T. Nakada[39], R. Nandakumar[49], I. Nasteva[2], M. Needham[50], N. Neri[21], S. Neubert[38], N. Neufeld[38], M. Neuner[11], A.D. Nguyen[39], T.D. Nguyen[39], C. Nguyen-Mau[39,o], M. Nicol[7], V. Niess[5], R. Niet[9], N. Nikitin[32], T. Nikodem[11], A. Novoselov[35], A. Oblakowska-Mucha[27], V. Obraztsov[35], S. Oggero[41], S. Ogilvy[51], O. Okhrimenko[44], R. Oldeman[15,e], G. Onderwater[65], M. Orlandea[29], J.M. Otalora Goicochea[2], P. Owen[53], A. Oyanguren[36], B.K. Pal[59], A. Palano[13,c], F. Palombo[21,s], M. Palutan[18], J. Panman[38], A. Papanestis[49,38], M. Pappagallo[51], L. Pappalardo[16], C. Parkes[54], C.J. Parkinson[9], G. Passaleva[17], G.D. Patel[52], M. Patel[53], C. Patrignani[19,j], A. Pazos Alvarez[37], A. Pearce[54], A. Pellegrino[41], M. Pepe Altarelli[38], S. Perazzini[14,d], E. Perez Trigo[37], P. Perret[5], M. Perrin-Terrin[6], L. Pescatore[45], E. Pesen[66], K. Petridis[53], A. Petrolini[19,j], E. Picatoste Olloqui[36], B. Pietrzyk[4], T. Pilař[48], D. Pinci[25], A. Pistone[19], S. Playfer[50], M. Plo Casasus[37], F. Polci[8], A. Poluektov[48,34], E. Polycarpo[2], A. Popov[35], D. Popov[10], B. Popovici[29], C. Potterat[2], A. Powell[55], J. Prisciandaro[39], A. Pritchard[52], C. Prouve[46], V. Pugatch[44], A. Puig Navarro[39], G. Punzi[23,q], W. Qian[4], B. Rachwal[26], J.H. Rademacker[46], B. Rakotomiaramanana[39], M. Rama[18], M.S. Rangel[2], I. Raniuk[43], N. Rauschmayr[38], G. Raven[42], S. Reichert[54], M.M. Reid[48], A.C. dos Reis[1], S. Ricciardi[49], A. Richards[53], K. Rinnert[52], V. Rives Molina[36], D.A. Roa Romero[5], P. Robbe[7], D.A. Roberts[58], A.B. Rodrigues[1], E. Rodrigues[54], P. Rodriguez Perez[54], S. Roiser[38], V. Romanovsky[35], A. Romero Vidal[37], M. Rotondo[22], J. Rouvinet[39], T. Ruf[38], F. Ruffini[23], H. Ruiz[36], P. Ruiz Valls[36], G. Sabatino[25,l], J.J. Saborido Silva[37], N. Sagidova[30], P. Sail[51], B. Saitta[15,e], V. Salustino Guimaraes[2], B. Sanmartin Sedes[37], R. Santacesaria[25], C. Santamarina Rios[37], E. Santovetti[24,l], M. Sapunov[6], A. Sarti[18], C. Satriano[25,m], A. Satta[24], M. Savrie[16,f], D. Savrina[31,32], M. Schiller[42], H. Schindler[38], M. Schlupp[9], M. Schmelling[10], B. Schmidt[38],

O. Schneider[39], A. Schopper[38], M.-H. Schune[7], R. Schwemmer[38], B. Sciascia[18], A. Sciubba[25], M. Seco[37], A. Semennikov[31], K. Senderowska[27], I. Sepp[53], N. Serra[40], J. Serrano[6], P. Seyfert[11], M. Shapkin[35], I. Shapoval[16,43,f], Y. Shcheglov[30], T. Shears[52], L. Shekhtman[34], O. Shevchenko[43], V. Shevchenko[63], A. Shires[9], F. Sidorov[31], R. Silva Coutinho[48], G. Simi[22], M. Sirendi[47], N. Skidmore[46], T. Skwarnicki[59], N.A. Smith[52], E. Smith[55,49], E. Smith[53], J. Smith[47], M. Smith[54], H. Snoek[41], M.D. Sokoloff[57], F.J.P. Soler[51], F. Soomro[39], D. Souza[46], B. Souza De Paula[2], B. Spaan[9], A. Sparkes[50], F. Spinella[23], P. Spradlin[51], F. Stagni[38], S. Stahl[11], O. Steinkamp[40], S. Stevenson[55], S. Stoica[29], S. Stone[59], B. Storaci[40], S. Stracka[23,38], M. Straticiuc[29], U. Straumann[40], R. Stroili[22], V.K. Subbiah[38], L. Sun[57], W. Sutcliffe[53], K. Swientek[27], S. Swientek[9], V. Syropoulos[42], M. Szczekowski[28], P. Szczypka[39,38], D. Szilard[2], T. Szumlak[27], S. T'Jampens[4], M. Teklishyn[7], G. Tellarini[16,f], F. Teubert[38], C. Thomas[55], E. Thomas[38], J. van Tilburg[41], V. Tisserand[4], M. Tobin[39], S. Tolk[42], L. Tomassetti[16,f], D. Tonelli[38], S. Topp-Joergensen[55], N. Torr[55], E. Tournefier[4], S. Tourneur[39], M.T. Tran[39], M. Tresch[40], A. Tsaregorodtsev[6], P. Tsopelas[41], N. Tuning[41], M. Ubeda Garcia[38], A. Ukleja[28], A. Ustyuzhanin[63], U. Uwer[11], V. Vagnoni[14], G. Valenti[14], A. Vallier[7], R. Vazquez Gomez[18], P. Vazquez Regueiro[37], C. Vázquez Sierra[37], S. Vecchi[16], J.J. Velthuis[46], M. Veltri[17,h], G. Veneziano[39], M. Vesterinen[11], B. Viaud[7], D. Vieira[2], X. Vilasis-Cardona[36,n], A. Vollhardt[40], D. Volyanskyy[10], D. Voong[46], A. Vorobyev[30], V. Vorobyev[34], C. Voß[62], H. Voss[10], J.A. de Vries[41], R. Waldi[62], C. Wallace[48], R. Wallace[12], S. Wandernoth[11], J. Wang[59], D.R. Ward[47], N.K. Watson[45], D. Websdale[53], M. Whitehead[48], J. Wicht[38], D. Wiedner[11], G. Wilkinson[55], M.P. Williams[48,49], M. Williams[56], F.F. Wilson[49], J. Wimberley[58], J. Wishahi[9], W. Wislicki[28], M. Witek[26], G. Wormser[7], S.A. Wotton[47], S. Wright[47], S. Wu[3], K. Wyllie[38], Y. Xie[61], Z. Xing[59], Z. Yang[3], X. Yuan[3], O. Yushchenko[35], M. Zangoli[14], M. Zavertyaev[10,b], F. Zhang[3], L. Zhang[59], W.C. Zhang[12], Y. Zhang[3], A. Zhelezov[11], A. Zhokhov[31], L. Zhong[3], A. Zvyagin[38].

[1] *Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas (CBPF), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil*
[2] *Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro (UFRJ), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil*
[3] *Center for High Energy Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China*
[4] *LAPP, Université de Savoie, CNRS/IN2P3, Annecy-Le-Vieux, France*
[5] *Clermont Université, Université Blaise Pascal, CNRS/IN2P3, LPC, Clermont-Ferrand, France*
[6] *CPPM, Aix-Marseille Université, CNRS/IN2P3, Marseille, France*
[7] *LAL, Université Paris-Sud, CNRS/IN2P3, Orsay, France*
[8] *LPNHE, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Université Paris Diderot, CNRS/IN2P3, Paris, France*
[9] *Fakultät Physik, Technische Universität Dortmund, Dortmund, Germany*
[10] *Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik (MPIK), Heidelberg, Germany*
[11] *Physikalisches Institut, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany*
[12] *School of Physics, University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland*
[13] *Sezione INFN di Bari, Bari, Italy*
[14] *Sezione INFN di Bologna, Bologna, Italy*
[15] *Sezione INFN di Cagliari, Cagliari, Italy*
[16] *Sezione INFN di Ferrara, Ferrara, Italy*
[17] *Sezione INFN di Firenze, Firenze, Italy*
[18] *Laboratori Nazionali dell'INFN di Frascati, Frascati, Italy*
[19] *Sezione INFN di Genova, Genova, Italy*
[20] *Sezione INFN di Milano Bicocca, Milano, Italy*
[21] *Sezione INFN di Milano, Milano, Italy*
[22] *Sezione INFN di Padova, Padova, Italy*
[23] *Sezione INFN di Pisa, Pisa, Italy*

[24] *Sezione INFN di Roma Tor Vergata, Roma, Italy*
[25] *Sezione INFN di Roma La Sapienza, Roma, Italy*
[26] *Henryk Niewodniczanski Institute of Nuclear Physics Polish Academy of Sciences, Kraków, Poland*
[27] *AGH - University of Science and Technology, Faculty of Physics and Applied Computer Science, Kraków, Poland*
[28] *National Center for Nuclear Research (NCBJ), Warsaw, Poland*
[29] *Horia Hulubei National Institute of Physics and Nuclear Engineering, Bucharest-Magurele, Romania*
[30] *Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute (PNPI), Gatchina, Russia*
[31] *Institute of Theoretical and Experimental Physics (ITEP), Moscow, Russia*
[32] *Institute of Nuclear Physics, Moscow State University (SINP MSU), Moscow, Russia*
[33] *Institute for Nuclear Research of the Russian Academy of Sciences (INR RAN), Moscow, Russia*
[34] *Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics (SB RAS) and Novosibirsk State University, Novosibirsk, Russia*
[35] *Institute for High Energy Physics (IHEP), Protvino, Russia*
[36] *Universitat de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain*
[37] *Universidad de Santiago de Compostela, Santiago de Compostela, Spain*
[38] *European Organization for Nuclear Research (CERN), Geneva, Switzerland*
[39] *Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Lausanne, Switzerland*
[40] *Physik-Institut, Universität Zürich, Zürich, Switzerland*
[41] *Nikhef National Institute for Subatomic Physics, Amsterdam, The Netherlands*
[42] *Nikhef National Institute for Subatomic Physics and VU University Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands*
[43] *NSC Kharkiv Institute of Physics and Technology (NSC KIPT), Kharkiv, Ukraine*
[44] *Institute for Nuclear Research of the National Academy of Sciences (KINR), Kyiv, Ukraine*
[45] *University of Birmingham, Birmingham, United Kingdom*
[46] *H.H. Wills Physics Laboratory, University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom*
[47] *Cavendish Laboratory, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom*
[48] *Department of Physics, University of Warwick, Coventry, United Kingdom*
[49] *STFC Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom*
[50] *School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom*
[51] *School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, United Kingdom*
[52] *Oliver Lodge Laboratory, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, United Kingdom*
[53] *Imperial College London, London, United Kingdom*
[54] *School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Manchester, Manchester, United Kingdom*
[55] *Department of Physics, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom*
[56] *Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, United States*
[57] *University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH, United States*
[58] *University of Maryland, College Park, MD, United States*
[59] *Syracuse University, Syracuse, NY, United States*
[60] *Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio de Janeiro (PUC-Rio), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, associated to* [2]
[61] *Institute of Particle Physics, Central China Normal University, Wuhan, Hubei, China, associated to* [3]
[62] *Institut für Physik, Universität Rostock, Rostock, Germany, associated to* [11]
[63] *National Research Centre Kurchatov Institute, Moscow, Russia, associated to* [31]
[64] *Instituto de Fisica Corpuscular (IFIC), Universitat de Valencia-CSIC, Valencia, Spain, associated to* [36]
[65] *KVI - University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands, associated to* [41]
[66] *Celal Bayar University, Manisa, Turkey, associated to* [38]

[a] *Universidade Federal do Triângulo Mineiro (UFTM), Uberaba-MG, Brazil*
[b] *P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Russian Academy of Science (LPI RAS), Moscow, Russia*
[c] *Università di Bari, Bari, Italy*
[d] *Università di Bologna, Bologna, Italy*
[e] *Università di Cagliari, Cagliari, Italy*
[f] *Università di Ferrara, Ferrara, Italy*

[g] *Università di Firenze, Firenze, Italy*
[h] *Università di Urbino, Urbino, Italy*
[i] *Università di Modena e Reggio Emilia, Modena, Italy*
[j] *Università di Genova, Genova, Italy*
[k] *Università di Milano Bicocca, Milano, Italy*
[l] *Università di Roma Tor Vergata, Roma, Italy*
[m] *Università della Basilicata, Potenza, Italy*
[n] *LIFAELS, La Salle, Universitat Ramon Llull, Barcelona, Spain*
[o] *Hanoi University of Science, Hanoi, Viet Nam*
[p] *Università di Padova, Padova, Italy*
[q] *Università di Pisa, Pisa, Italy*
[r] *Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa, Italy*
[s] *Università degli Studi di Milano, Milano, Italy*
[t] *Politecnico di Milano, Milano, Italy*

1 Introduction

The B_c^+ meson is the only meson consisting of two heavy quarks of different flavours. It was discovered by the CDF collaboration through the semileptonic decay $B_c^+ \to J/\psi \ell^+ \nu_\ell X$ [1], where X denotes possible unobserved particles.[1] The CDF collaboration also observed the hadronic decay mode $B_c^+ \to J/\psi \pi^+$ [2]. Recently, the LHCb experiment has observed several new channels including $B_c^+ \to J/\psi \pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$ [3], $B_c^+ \to \psi(2S)\pi^+$ [4], $B_c^+ \to J/\psi D_s^+$ and $B_c^+ \to J/\psi D_s^{*+}$ [5], $B_c^+ \to J/\psi K^+$ [6], $B_c^+ \to J/\psi K^+K^-\pi^+$ [7] and $B_c^+ \to B_s^0\pi^+$ [8]. The lifetime of the B_c^+ meson [9, 10] is about three times shorter than that of the B^0 and B^+ mesons, confirming the important role played by the c quark in B_c^+ decays. The decays of B_c^+ mesons into charmonia and light hadrons are expected to be well described by the factorization approximation [11]. In this scheme, the $B_c^+ \to J/\psi 3\pi^+2\pi^-$ decay is characterized by the form factors of the $B_c^+ \to J/\psi W^+$ transition and the spectral functions for the virtual W^+ boson into light hadrons [12]. The predictions for the ratio of branching fractions

$$R_{5\pi} \equiv \frac{\mathcal{B}(B_c^+ \to J/\psi 3\pi^+2\pi^-)}{\mathcal{B}(B_c^+ \to J/\psi \pi^+)} \tag{1}$$

are 0.95 and 1.1 [13], using form factor calculations from Refs. [14] and [15], respectively.

In this article, the first evidence for the decay $B_c^+ \to J/\psi 3\pi^+2\pi^-$ and a measurement of $R_{5\pi}$ are reported. The analysis is based on a data sample of proton-proton (pp) collisions, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of $1\,\text{fb}^{-1}$ at a centre-of-mass energy of 7 TeV and $2\,\text{fb}^{-1}$ at 8 TeV, collected with the LHCb detector.

2 Detector

The LHCb detector [16] is a single-arm forward spectrometer covering the pseudorapidity range $2 < \eta < 5$, designed for the study of particles containing b or c quarks. The detector includes a high-precision tracking system consisting of a silicon-strip vertex detector surrounding the pp interaction region, a large-area silicon-strip detector located upstream of a dipole magnet with a bending power of about 4 Tm, and three stations of silicon-strip detectors and straw drift tubes [17] placed downstream. The combined tracking system provides a momentum measurement with relative uncertainty that varies from 0.4% at 5 GeV/c to 0.6% at 100 GeV/c, and impact parameter resolution of 20 μm for tracks with large transverse momentum. Different types of charged hadrons are distinguished using information from two ring-imaging Cherenkov detectors [18]. Photon, electron and hadron candidates are identified by a calorimeter system consisting of scintillating-pad and preshower detectors, an electromagnetic calorimeter and a hadronic calorimeter. Muons are identified by a system composed of alternating layers of iron and multiwire proportional chambers [19]. The trigger [20] consists of a hardware stage, based on information from the calorimeter and muon systems, followed by a software stage, which applies a full event reconstruction.

[1] The inclusion of charge conjugate modes is implicit throughout this paper.

This analysis uses events collected by triggers that select the $\mu^+\mu^-$ pair from the J/ψ decay with high efficiency. At the hardware stage either one or two muon candidates are required to trigger the event. In the case of single muon triggers, the transverse momentum, $p_{\rm T}$, of the muon candidate is required to be greater than 1.5 GeV/c. For dimuon candidates, the product of the $p_{\rm T}$ of muon candidates is required to satisfy $\sqrt{p_{\rm T1}p_{\rm T2}} > 1.3\,{\rm GeV}/c$. At the subsequent software trigger stage, two muons are selected with an invariant mass in the range $2.97 < m_{\mu^+\mu^-} < 3.21\,{\rm GeV}/c^2$ and consistent with originating from a common vertex. The common vertex is required to be significantly displaced from the pp collision vertices.

Simulated pp collisions are generated using Pythia 6.4 [21] with the configuration described in Ref. [22]. Final-state QED radiative corrections are included using the Photos package [23]. The $\rm B_c^+$ mesons are produced by a dedicated generator, BcVegPy [24]. The decays of all hadrons are performed by EvtGen [25], and a specific model is implemented to generate the decays $\rm B_c^+ \to J/\psi\, 3\pi^+ 2\pi^-$, assuming factorization [13]. The model allows the implementation of different form factors for this decay, calculated using QCD sum rules [14] or a relativistic quark model [15]. These predictions lead to very similar values and those based on the relativistic quark model are used in the simulation. The coupling of the five pion ($3\pi^+2\pi^-$) system to the virtual $\rm W^+$ is taken from τ^+ lepton decays [26]. The interaction of the generated particles with the detector and its response are implemented using the Geant4 toolkit [27] as described in Ref. [28].

3 Candidate selection

The decays $\rm B_c^+ \to J/\psi\, 3\pi^+ 2\pi^-$ and $\rm B_c^+ \to J/\psi\, \pi^+$ are reconstructed using the $\rm J/\psi \to \mu^+\mu^-$ decay mode. The selection criteria chosen are similar for both channels.

All tracks are required to be in the pseudorapidity range $2 < \eta < 4.9$. Good track quality of charged particles is ensured by requiring the χ^2 per number of degrees of freedom, χ^2/ndf, provided by the track fit, to be less than 3. Suppression of fake tracks created by the reconstruction is achieved by a neural network trained with simulated samples to discriminate between fake tracks and tracks associated with real particles [29], ensuring the rate of fake tracks below 0.3 %.

Two dedicated neural networks are used for muon and pion identification. These networks use the information from the Cherenkov detectors [18], muon chambers [30] and the calorimeter system [31], together with the tracking information. The momentum of the pion candidates is required to be between 3.2 GeV/c and 150 GeV/c in order to ensure good quality particle identification in Cherenkov detectors. The requirements on the neural network output are chosen to ensure good agreement between data and simulation and significant reduction of the background due to misidentification.

Pairs of oppositely charged muons, originating from a common vertex, are combined to form $\rm J/\psi \to \mu^+\mu^-$ candidates. The $p_{\rm T}$ of each muon is required to be greater than 550 MeV/c. Good vertex reconstruction is ensured by requiring the χ^2 of the vertex fit, $\chi^2_{\rm vtx}$, to be less than 20. To select dimuon vertices that are well-separated from

the reconstructed pp interaction vertices, the decay length is required to be at least three times its uncertainty. The invariant mass of the dimuon combination is required to be between 3.020 and 3.135 GeV/c^2. The asymmetric mass range with respect to the known J/ψ meson mass [9] is chosen to include the QED radiative tail.

The selected J/ψ candidates are combined with pions to form $\mathrm{B_c^+} \to \mathrm{J/\psi}\,3\pi^+2\pi^-$ and $\mathrm{B_c^+} \to \mathrm{J/\psi}\,\pi^+$ candidates. The transverse momentum of each pion is required to be greater than 400 MeV/c. To ensure that the pions are inconsistent with being directly produced in a pp interaction, the impact parameter χ^2, defined as the difference between the χ^2 values of the fits of the pp collision vertex formed with and without the considered pion track, is required to satisfy $\chi^2_{\mathrm{IP}} > 4$. When more than one primary vertex is reconstructed, the vertex with the smallest value of χ^2_{IP} is chosen. Good vertex reconstruction for the $\mathrm{B_c^+}$ candidate vertex is ensured by requiring the $\chi^2_{\mathrm{vtx}}/\mathrm{ndf}$ to be less than 12. To suppress the large combinatorial background in the $\mathrm{B_c^+} \to \mathrm{J/\psi}\,3\pi^+2\pi^-$ sample, the χ^2 of the vertex fit for all $\mathrm{J/\psi}\,\pi^\pm$ combinations, as well as for all dipion combinations, is required to be less than 20. To improve the invariant mass resolution, a kinematic fit [32] is performed that constrains the $\mu^+\mu^-$ pair to the known mass of the J/ψ meson. It is also required that the $\mathrm{B_c^+}$ candidate's momentum vector points back to from the associated pp interaction vertex. When more than one pp collision vertex is found, that with the smallest value of χ^2_{IP} is chosen. The χ^2 per number of degrees of freedom of the fit, $\chi^2_{\mathrm{fit}}/\mathrm{ndf}$, is required to be less than 5. The measured decay time of the $\mathrm{B_c^+}$ candidate, calculated with respect to the associated primary vertex, is required to be between 150 $\mu\mathrm{m}/c$ and 1 mm/c.

4 Signal and normalization yields

The mass distribution for the selected $\mathrm{J/\psi}\,3\pi^+2\pi^-$ candidates is shown in Fig. 1. To estimate the signal yield, an extended maximum likelihood fit to the unbinned mass distribution is made. The $\mathrm{B_c^+} \to \mathrm{J/\psi}\,3\pi^+2\pi^-$ signal is modelled by a Gaussian distribution and the background by a constant function. The fit results for the fitted mass and mass resolution of $\mathrm{B_c^+}$ signal, $m_{\mathrm{B_c^+}}$ and $\sigma_{\mathrm{B_c^+}}$, and signal yield $N_{\mathrm{B_c^+ \to J/\psi\,3\pi^+2\pi^-}}$, are listed in Table 1,

The statistical significance for the observed signal is determined as $\mathcal{S}_\sigma = \sqrt{-2\log\frac{\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{B}}}{\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{S+B}}}}$ where $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{S+B}}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{B}}$ denote the likelihood associated with the signal-plus-background and background-only hypothesis, respectively. The likelihoods are calculated with the peak position fixed to the known mass of $\mathrm{B_c^+}$ meson [5,9] and the mass resolution fixed to 10.1 MeV/c^2 as expected from simulation. The statistical significance of the $\mathrm{B_c^+} \to \mathrm{J/\psi}\,3\pi^+2\pi^-$ signal is 4.5 standard deviations.

For the selected $\mathrm{B_c^+}$ candidates, the existence of resonant structures is searched for in the $\pi^+\pi^-$, $\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$, $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-$, $2\pi^+2\pi^-$, $3\pi^+2\pi^-$ and $\mathrm{J/\psi}\,\pi^+\pi^-$ combinations of final state particles using the *sPlot* technique [33], with the reconstructed $\mathrm{J/\psi}\,3\pi^+2\pi^-$ mass as discriminating variable, to subtract the background. No significant narrow structures are observed; in particular, no indication of a contribution from $\mathrm{B_c^+} \to \psi(2\mathrm{S})\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$, followed by the $\psi(2\mathrm{S}) \to \mathrm{J/\psi}\,\pi^+\pi^-$ decay, is seen. The background-subtracted five-pion mass distribution is shown in Fig. 2, along with the theoretical prediction in Ref. [13],



Figure 1: Mass distribution for selected $\mathrm{B_c^+} \to \mathrm{J/\psi\,3\pi^+2\pi^-}$ candidates. The result of a fit using the model described in the text (red solid line) is shown together with the background component (blue dashed line).

Table 1: Signal parameters of the unbinned extended maximum likelihood fit to the $\mathrm{J/\psi\,3\pi^+2\pi^-}$ mass distribution. Uncertainties are statistical only.

Parameter		Value
$m_{\mathrm{B_c^+}}$	$[\mathrm{MeV}/c^2]$	6273 ± 3
$\sigma_{\mathrm{B_c^+}}$	$[\mathrm{MeV}/c^2]$	11.4 ± 3.4
$N_{\mathrm{B_c^+ \to J/\psi\,3\pi^+2\pi^-}}$		32 ± 8

which describes the data well. The consistency between data and the model prediction is estimated using a χ^2-test and gives a p-value of 14 %. The corresponding p-value for the phase space decay model is 4 %.

The mass distribution of the selected $\mathrm{B_c^+} \to \mathrm{J/\psi\,\pi^+}$ candidates is shown in Fig. 3, together with the result of an extended unbinned maximum likelihood fit. The $\mathrm{B_c^+}$ signal



Figure 2: Background-subtracted distribution of five-pion mass from $B_c^+ \to J/\psi 3\pi^+2\pi^-$ events (points with error bars). The model prediction from Ref. [13] is shown by a red solid line, and the expectation from the phase space model is shown by a blue dashed line.

is modelled by a Gaussian distribution and the background by an exponential function. The fit gives a yield of 2271 ± 63 events.

5 Efficiency and systematic uncertainties

The overall efficiency for each decay is the product of the geometrical acceptance of the detector, reconstruction, selection and trigger efficiencies. These are estimated using simulation and the ratio of the efficiencies is found to be

$$\frac{\varepsilon(B_c^+ \to J/\psi \pi^+)}{\varepsilon(B_c^+ \to J/\psi 3\pi^+2\pi^-)} = 123.8 \pm 5.6, \qquad (2)$$

where the uncertainty reflects the size of the simulated sample. The large difference in efficiencies is due to the reconstruction of four additional low-p_T pions in the $B_c^+ \to J/\psi 3\pi^+2\pi^-$ mode. The efficiencies for the data samples collected at a centre-of-mass



Figure 3: Mass distribution for selected $B_c^+ \to J/\psi\pi^+$ candidates. The result of a fit using the model described in the text (red solid line) is shown together with the background component (blue dashed line).

energy of 7 TeV and 8 TeV are found to be similar and a luminosity-weighted average is used, with the corresponding systematic uncertainty discussed below.

Many sources of systematic uncertainty cancel in the ratio, in particular those related to the muon and J/ψ reconstruction and identification. Those that do not cancel are discussed below and summarized in Table 2.

A systematic uncertainty arises from the imperfect knowledge of the shape of the signal and background in the $J/\psi 3\pi^+2\pi^-$ and $J/\psi\pi^+$ mass distributions. The dependence of the signal yields on the fit model is studied by varying the signal and background parameterizations. This is assessed by using Crystal Ball [34] and double-sided Crystal Ball [35] functions for the parameterization of the B_c^+ signals. The background parametrization is performed using both exponential and polynomial functions. The maximum observed change of 6.6 % in the ratio of $B_c^+ \to J/\psi 3\pi^+2\pi^-$ and $B_c^+ \to J/\psi\pi^+$ yields is assigned as a systematic uncertainty.

To assess the systematic uncertainty related to the $B_c^+ \to J/\psi 3\pi^+2\pi^-$ decay model used

Table 2: Relative systematic uncertainties for the ratio $R_{5\pi}$. The total uncertainty is the quadratic sum of the individual components.

Source	Uncertainty [%]
Fit model	6.6
Decay model	
$m_{3\pi^+2\pi^-}$ reweighting	7.7
$\psi(2S)$ mass veto	3.1
Data-simulation agreement	
Hadron interactions	4×2.0
Track quality selection	4×0.6
Trigger	1.1
Pion identification	0.7
Selection variables	1.0
B_c^+ lifetime	0.9
Stability for various data taking conditions	2.5
Acceptance	0.8
Total	13.9

in the simulation [13], the reconstructed mass distribution of the five-pion system in simulated events is reweighted to reproduce the distribution observed in data. As a cross-check the efficiency is also recalculated using a phase space model for the $B_c^+ \to J/\psi\, 3\pi^+2\pi^-$ decays. There is a maximal change in efficiency of 7.7 %, which is taken as the systematic uncertainty for the decay model. In addition, the analysis is repeated with the removal of all B_c^+ candidates where the $J/\psi\,\pi^+\pi^-$ mass is compatible with originating from $\psi(2S) \to J/\psi\,\pi^+\pi^-$ decays. The observed difference of 3.1 % is assigned as an additional systematic uncertainty.

A large class of uncertainties arises from the differences between data and simulation, in particular those affecting the efficiency for reconstruction of charged-particle tracks. The largest of these arises from the simulation of hadronic interactions in the detector, which has an uncertainty of 2 % per track [29, 36, 37]. An additional uncertainty associated with the track quality requirements for the additional four pions in the signal decay is estimated to be 0.6 % per track [5, 7]. The trigger efficiency for events with $J/\psi \to \mu^+\mu^-$ produced in beauty hadron decays is studied on data in high-yield modes [5, 38] and a systematic uncertainty of 1.1 % is assigned based on the comparison of the ratio of trigger efficiencies for high-yield samples of $B^+ \to J/\psi\, K^+$ and $B^+ \to \psi(2S)K^+$ decays on data and simulation [38].

The systematic uncertainty associated with pion identification is studied using a sample of $B^+ \to J/\psi\, K^+\pi^+\pi^-$ decays. The efficiency to identify a $\pi^+\pi^-$ pair is compared for data and simulation. This comparison shows a 0.35% difference between the data and simulation in the efficiency to identify a pion pair. As a result of this study an uncertainty of 0.7 % is assigned for the four additional pions in the analysis.

The transverse momentum and rapidity spectra for the selected $B_c^+ \to J/\psi \pi^+$ candidates, as well their daughter J/ψ mesons and pions, are found to be in good agreement with the predictions from the BCVEGPY generator. Good agreement in efficiencies determined from the data and simulation has been observed for all variables used in the selection of $B_c^+ \to J/\psi \pi^+$ candidates. The differences do not exceed 1 %, which is used as a conservative estimate for the systematic uncertainty from the selection variables. The agreement between data and simulation has also been cross-checked using the $B_c^+ \to J/\psi 3\pi^+2\pi^-$ signal by varying the selection criteria to the values that correspond to a 20 % change in the signal yield in simulation. No unexpectedly large deviation is found.

The different acceptance as a function of decay time for the $B_c^+ \to J/\psi 3\pi^+2\pi^-$ and $B_c^+ \to J/\psi \pi^+$ decay modes results in an additional systematic uncertainty related to the imprecise knowledge of the B_c^+ lifetime. To assess the related uncertainty, the decay time distributions for simulated events are reweighted after changing the B_c^+ lifetime by one standard deviation around the value of $509 \pm 8 \pm 12$ fs [10] measured by LHCb and the efficiencies are recomputed. The observed 0.9 % variation in the ratio of efficiencies is used as the systematic uncertainty.

The uncertainty related to the stability of the analysis results against variations of the detector and trigger configurations occuring in different data-taking periods are tested by studying the ratio of the yields of $B^+ \to J/\psi K^+\pi^+\pi^-$ and $B^+ \to J/\psi K^+$ decays as a function of the data-taking period. According to this study an additional systematic uncertainty of 2.5 % is assigned [5].

The last systematic uncertainty originates from the dependence of the geometrical acceptance on both the beam crossing angle and the position of the luminosity region. The resulting 0.8 % difference in the efficiency ratios is taken as an estimate of the systematic uncertainty.

A summary of systematic uncertainties is presented in Table 2. The total systematic uncertainty on the ratio of the branching fractions $R_{5\pi}$ is 13.9 %.

6 Results and summary

The first evidence for the decay $B_c^+ \to J/\psi 3\pi^+2\pi^-$ is found using pp collisions, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of $3\,\text{fb}^{-1}$, collected with the LHCb detector A signal yield of 32 ± 8 events is found. The significance, taking into account the systematic uncertainties due to the fit function, peak position and mass resolution in the fit, is estimated to be 4.5 standard deviations.

Using the $B_c^+ \to J/\psi \pi^+$ mode as a normalization channel, the ratio of branching fractions is calculated as

$$R_{5\pi} = \frac{N\,(B_c^+ \to J/\psi\, 3\pi^+2\pi^-)}{N\,(B_c^+ \to J/\psi\, \pi^+)} \times \frac{\varepsilon(B_c^+ \to J/\psi\, \pi^+)}{\varepsilon(B_c^+ \to J/\psi\, 3\pi^+2\pi^-)}, \tag{3}$$

where N is the number of reconstructed decays obtained from the fit described in Sect. 4 and the efficiency ratio is taken from Eq. (2). The ratio of branching fractions is measured

to be

$$\frac{\mathcal{B}\left(\mathrm{B_c^+} \to \mathrm{J/\psi}\, 3\pi^+ 2\pi^-\right)}{\mathcal{B}\left(\mathrm{B_c^+} \to \mathrm{J/\psi}\, \pi^+\right)} = 1.74 \pm 0.44 \pm 0.24,$$

where the first uncertainty is statistical and the second is systematic. The result is in agreement with theoretical predictions [13] of 0.95 and 1.1 using the form factors from Refs. [14] and [15], respectively. This result is also consistent with analogous measurements in $\mathrm{B^0}$ and $\mathrm{B^+}$ meson decays [9]

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\mathcal{B}\left(\mathrm{B^0} \to \mathrm{D^{*-}} 3\pi^+ 2\pi^-\right)}{\mathcal{B}\left(\mathrm{B^0} \to \mathrm{D^{*-}} \pi^+\right)} &= 1.70 \pm 0.34, \\
\frac{\mathcal{B}\left(\mathrm{B^+} \to \mathrm{\bar{D}^{*0}} 3\pi^+ 2\pi^-\right)}{\mathcal{B}\left(\mathrm{B^+} \to \mathrm{\bar{D}^{*0}} \pi^+\right)} &= 1.10 \pm 0.24,
\end{aligned}$$

as expected from factorization.

Acknowledgements

We thank A.K. Likhoded and A.V. Luchinky for fruitful discussions about the dynamics of $\mathrm{B_c^+}$ decays. We express our gratitude to our colleagues in the CERN accelerator departments for the excellent performance of the LHC. We thank the technical and administrative staff at the LHCb institutes. We acknowledge support from CERN and from the national agencies: CAPES, CNPq, FAPERJ and FINEP (Brazil); NSFC (China); CNRS/IN2P3 and Region Auvergne (France); BMBF, DFG, HGF and MPG (Germany); SFI (Ireland); INFN (Italy); FOM and NWO (The Netherlands); SCSR (Poland); MEN/IFA (Romania); MinES, Rosatom, RFBR and NRC "Kurchatov Institute" (Russia); MinECo, XuntaGal and GENCAT (Spain); SNSF and SER (Switzerland); NASU (Ukraine); STFC and the Royal Society (United Kingdom); NSF (USA). We also acknowledge the support received from EPLANET, Marie Curie Actions and the ERC under FP7. The Tier1 computing centres are supported by IN2P3 (France), KIT and BMBF (Germany), INFN (Italy), NWO and SURF (The Netherlands), PIC (Spain), GridPP (United Kingdom). We are indebted to the communities behind the multiple open source software packages on which we depend. We are also thankful for the computing resources and the access to software R&D tools provided by Yandex LLC (Russia).

References

[1] CDF collaboration, F. Abe *et al.*, *Observation of the* $\mathrm{B_c}$ *meson in* $\mathrm{p\bar{p}}$ *collisions at* $\sqrt{s} = 1.8$ *TeV*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81** (1998) 2432, arXiv:hep-ex/9805034.

[2] CDF collaboration, T. Aaltonen *et al.*, *Observation of the decay* $\mathrm{B_c^\pm} \to \mathrm{J/\psi}\, \pi^\pm$ *and measurement of the* $\mathrm{B_c^\pm}$ *mass*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100** (2008) 182002, arXiv:0712.1506.

[3] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, *First observation of the decay* $B_c^+ \to J/\psi \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+$, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108** (2012) 251802, arXiv:1204.0079.

[4] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, *Observation of the decay* $B_c^+ \to \psi(2S)\pi^+$, Phys. Rev. **D87** (2013) 071103(R), arXiv:1303.1737.

[5] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, *Observation of* $B_c^+ \to J/\psi D_s^+$ *and* $B_c^+ \to J/\psi D_s^{*+}$ *decays*, Phys. Rev. **D87** (2013) 112012, arXiv:1304.4530.

[6] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, *First observation of the decay* $B_c^+ \to J/\psi K^+$, JHEP **09** (2013) 075, arXiv:1306.6723.

[7] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, *Observation of the decay* $B_c^+ \to J/\psi K^+K^-\pi^+$, JHEP **11** (2013) 094, arXiv:1309.0587.

[8] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, *Observation of the decay* $B_c^+ \to B_s^0\pi^+$, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111** (2013) 181801, arXiv:1308.4544.

[9] Particle Data Group, J. Beringer *et al.*, *Review of particle physics*, Phys. Rev. **D86** (2012) 010001, and 2013 partial update for the 2014 edition.

[10] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, *Measurement of the* B_c^+ *meson lifetime using* $B_c^+ \to J/\psi \mu^+\nu_\mu X$ *decays*, arXiv:1401.6932, to appear in Eur. Phys. J. C.

[11] M. Bauer, B. Stech, and M. Wirbel, *Exclusive non-leptonic decays of* D-, D_s^+-, *and* B-*mesons*, Z. Phys. **C34** (1987) 103; M. Wirbel, *Description of weak decays of* B *and* D *mesons*, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **21** (1988) 33.

[12] A. K. Likhoded and A. V. Luchinsky, *Light hadron production in* $B_c \to J/\psi + X$ *decays*, Phys. Rev. **D81** (2010) 014015, arXiv:0910.3089.

[13] A. V. Luchinsky, *Production of charged* π *mesons in exclusive* $B_c^+ \to V(P) + n\pi$ *decays*, Phys. Rev. **D86** (2012) 074024, arXiv:1208.1398.

[14] V. V. Kiselev, A. E. Kovalsky, and A. K. Likhoded, B_c *decays and lifetime in QCD sum rules*, Nucl. Phys. **B585** (2000) 353, arXiv:hep-ph/0002127.

[15] D. Ebert, R. N. Faustov, and V. O. Galkin, *Weak decays of the* B_c *meson to charmonium and* D *mesons in the relativistic quark model*, Phys. Rev. **D68** (2003) 094020, arXiv:hep-ph/0306306.

[16] LHCb collaboration, A. A. Alves Jr. *et al.*, *The LHCb detector at the LHC*, JINST **3** (2008) S08005.

[17] R. Arink *et al.*, *Performance of the LHCb Outer Tracker*, JINST **9** (2014) P01002, arXiv:1311.3893.

[18] M. Adinolfi *et al.*, *Performance of the LHCb RICH detector at the LHC*, Eur. Phys. J. **C73** (2013) 2431, arXiv:1211.6759.

[19] A. A. Alves Jr. *et al.*, *Performance of the LHCb muon system*, JINST **8** (2013) P02022, arXiv:1211.1346.

[20] R. Aaij *et al.*, *The LHCb trigger and its performance in 2011*, JINST **8** (2013) P04022, arXiv:1211.3055.

[21] T. Sjöstrand, S. Mrenna, and P. Skands, PYTHIA *6.4 physics and manual*, JHEP **05** (2006) 026, arXiv:hep-ph/0603175.

[22] I. Belyaev *et al.*, *Handling of the generation of primary events in* GAUSS*, the LHCb simulation framework*, Nuclear Science Symposium Conference Record (NSS/MIC) **IEEE** (2010) 1155.

[23] P. Golonka and Z. Was, PHOTOS *Monte Carlo: a precision tool for QED corrections in* Z *and* W *decays*, Eur. Phys. J. **C45** (2006) 97, arXiv:hep-ph/0506026.

[24] C.-H. Chang, C. Driouichi, P. Eerola, and X.-G. Wu, BCVEGPY*: an event generator for hadronic production of the* B_c *meson*, Comput. Phys. Commun. **159** (2004) 192, arXiv:hep-ph/0309120.

[25] D. J. Lange, *The* EVTGEN *particle decay simulation package*, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. **A462** (2001) 152.

[26] BaBar collaboration, J.-P. Lee *et al.*, *Study of high-multiplicity three-prong and five-prong* τ *decays at BaBar*, Phys. Rev. **D86** (2012) 092010, arXiv:1209.2734.

[27] Geant4 collaboration, J. Allison *et al.*, GEANT4 *developments and applications*, IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. **53** (2006) 270; Geant4 collaboration, S. Agostinelli *et al.*, GEANT4*: a simulation toolkit*, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. **A506** (2003) 250.

[28] M. Clemencic *et al.*, *The LHCb simulation application,* GAUSS*: design, evolution and experience*, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. **331** (2011) 032023.

[29] R. Aaij *et al.*, *Measurement of the track reconstruction efficiency at LHCb*, LHCb-DP-2013-002, in preparation.

[30] F. Archilli *et al.*, *Performance of the muon identification at LHCb*, JINST **8** (2013) P10020, arXiv:1306.0249.

[31] R. Aaij *et al.*, *Performance of the LHCb calorimeters*, LHCb-DP-2013-004, in preparation.

[32] W. D. Hulsbergen, *Decay chain fitting with a Kalman filter*, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. **A552** (2005) 566, arXiv:physics/0503191.

[33] M. Pivk and F. R. Le Diberder, *sPlot: a statistical tool to unfold data distributions*, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. **A555** (2005) 356, arXiv:physics/0402083.

[34] T. Skwarnicki, *A study of the radiative cascade transitions between the* Υ' *and* Υ *resonances*, PhD thesis, Institute of Nuclear Physics, Krakow, 1986, DESY-F31-86-02.

[35] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, *Observation of* J/ψ *-pair production in* pp *collisions at* $\sqrt{s} = 7\ TeV$, Phys. Lett. **B707** (2012) 52, arXiv:1109.0963.

[36] A. Jaeger *et al.*, *Measurement of the track finding efficiency*, LHCb-PUB-2011-025.

[37] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, *Prompt* K^0_S *production in* pp *collisions at* $\sqrt{s} = 0.9\ TeV$, Phys. Lett. **B693** (2010) 69, arXiv:1008.3105.

[38] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, *Measurement of relative branching fractions of* B *decays to* ψ(2S) *and* J/ψ *mesons*, Eur. Phys. J. **C72** (2012) 2118, arXiv:1205.0918.